## G-BLUP without inverting the genomic relationship matrix

## Per Madsen ${ }^{1}$ and Jørgen $\emptyset$ degård ${ }^{2}$

${ }^{1}$ Center for Quantitative Genetics and Genomics
Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Aarhus University
${ }^{2}$ AquaGen AS
Genetics and breeding, Ås, Norway

August 22, 2013

## Outline

(1) Background
(2) Unsymmetric MME
(3) Test of solver
(4) Conclusions and implications

## Traditional BLUP

EBV's are obtained by solving Henderson's MME.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
X^{\prime} R^{-1} X & X^{\prime} R^{-1} Z \\
Z^{\prime} R^{-1} X & Z^{\prime} R^{-1} Z+G^{-1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\hat{\beta} \\
\hat{u}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
X^{\prime} R^{-1} y \\
Z^{\prime} R^{-1} y
\end{array}\right]
$$

The coefficient matrix is symmetric and involves the inverse of a relationship matrix $\left(G^{-1}\right)$
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The coefficient matrix is symmetric and involves the inverse of a relationship matrix $\left(G^{-1}\right)$

- In a pedigree based additive model:

$$
G^{-1}=\left(G_{0} \otimes A\right)^{-1}=G_{0}^{-1} \otimes A^{-1}
$$

- In G-BLUP:

$$
G^{-1}=\left(G_{0} \otimes G_{S N P}\right)^{-1}
$$

## Alternative formulation of MME

As shown by Henderson (1984), the MME can be rearranged into an unsymmetric system by multiplying the random part with $G=G_{0} \otimes G$
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## Solving strategy

Due to the multiplication by $G$, the "Genomic" part of the unsymmetric MME, and will typically be the major part of the system

Strategy for solving the unsymmetric MME
1: Setup LHS and RHS
2: if enough memory to hold an factorize the system then
3: $\quad$ Solve by direct methods
(Can be performed by mulitcore LAPACK subroutines)
4: else
5: Use iterative methods
(Gauss-Seidel, PCG, MINRES, ...)
6: end if

## Iterative solving strategy

Rearranging the unsymmetric system as:

$$
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$$
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$$

## Solving strategy

Pseudo code for IOD solver for unsymmetric MME
cd=0
Initiate $\hat{u^{0}}$ (can be a null vector)
while $c d>\varepsilon$ do

$$
y^{* i}=y-Z u^{\hat{i}-1}
$$

Compute $\beta^{i}$ by solve $X^{\prime} R^{-1} X \hat{\beta^{i}}=X^{\prime} R^{-1} y^{* i}$
(direct or iteratively)
$y^{* * i}=y-X \hat{\beta}^{i}$
Compute $\hat{u^{i}}$ by solve $\left(G Z^{\prime} R^{-1} Z+I\right) \hat{u^{i}}=G Z^{\prime} R^{-1} y^{* * i}$
(iteratively)

$$
c d=\frac{\left\|i^{i-1}-u^{i}\right\|}{\left\|u^{i}\right\|}
$$

## end while
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Solutions are identical

## Conclusions and implications

Advantages of using unsymmetric MME for G-BLUP:

- Inversion of the genomic relationship matrix is avoided


## Conclusions and implications

Advantages of using unsymmetric MME for G-BLUP:

- Inversion of the genomic relationship matrix is avoided
- Dimensions that can be handled increases by an order of magnitude


## Conclusions and implications

Advantages of using unsymmetric MME for G-BLUP:

- Inversion of the genomic relationship matrix is avoided
- Dimensions that can be handled increases by an order of magnitude

Further improvements

## Conclusions and implications

Advantages of using unsymmetric MME for G-BLUP:

- Inversion of the genomic relationship matrix is avoided
- Dimensions that can be handled increases by an order of magnitude

Further improvements

- As number of typed animals increases, it might be feasible to form elements in $G$ as they are needed using massive parallel computation (GPU's?)


## Conclusions and implications

Advantages of using unsymmetric MME for G-BLUP:

- Inversion of the genomic relationship matrix is avoided
- Dimensions that can be handled increases by an order of magnitude

Further improvements

- As number of typed animals increases, it might be feasible to form elements in $G$ as they are needed using massive parallel computation (GPU's?)
- Replacing $G$ by $H$ (the One-Step relationship matrix) See EAAP presentation by $\emptyset$ degård et. al

